JL - Hoover's O & C files; (non)compliance in 1996 HW 6/18/78 cc DW

Not always fully alert from the weather I've just finished going over these records.

And with that old ESP here you phoned. So the explanation now is largely for DW.

I've found records I should have gotten in 1998 and didn't even when, as you know, I asked for a search. I forgot to ask you if you want an affidavit on this, with the attachments. Some relevant in 0692, as you observed.

I will want a duplicate copy for 1996 and for "June" but I don't need the entire duplicate immediately.

Also, with a service call in the near future that involves a $250 part and with all Lil has to fo I'd rather have you make the copy down there, commercially, and lend it to Dave, who I'm sure will want if for his own purposes, aside from future archival uses, and some of whose students will want very much to see it.

So if you would please have a copy made and send it to Dave, he can make himself a copy and return it to me with a note to me that I want it as part of the 1996 "June" file.

It also occurs to me that if he does not win in the primary Dreyfus may want to read this.

For a bright student it can make a valuable exercise, to go over it and see how much meat the FBI hung on the bones Hoover dreamed up.

The efforts again Bobby and thus all the Kennedys is fairly obvious.

These records are in themselves incomplete, First pages are missing, following pages do not follow and I am sure some of the withheldings can't be justified.

They keep on saying that all this stuff had to be secret and top secret because disclosures would hurt national defense. Well, there have been disclosures, going back to 1969. I jave seen no allegation that the actual disclosures had any impact, although they continue the argument. Can you use that in court? They even provide a copy of the Rowan 1969 recoprt of their "national security" operations. I think this is a case in point with which to confront their generalities and doomsday forecasts. It all hangs out and the FBI is intact.

Before I forget again, all NSA's work is not signals communication interception. They have files on me they deny having coming from a domesyic investigation in the 1950s. I have another agency's note on their investigators going there to check the files on me. So we can challenge their glittering generalities on that basis.